

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States-Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.mpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,014	02/14/2002	Tetsunori Matsushita	Q68466	6205
75	590 05/02/2003			
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EXAMINER	
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20037-3213			BALASUBRAMANIAN, VENKATARAMAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	7
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

:		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summers					
		10/074,014	MATSUSHITA, TETSUNORI		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	The MAIL INC DATE of this communication ann	Venkataraman Balasubramanian	1624		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 F	ebru <u>ary 2</u> 003 .			
2a)□		s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-15</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 9,11 and 13-15 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 10 and 12 is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
	on Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/858,723</u> .				
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Page 2 Application/Control Number: 10/074,014

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group II, claims 10 and 12 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Claims 9,11,13,14,and 15 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claims 10 and 12 are now active in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- Recitation of the terms "general" in claim 10 and 12 renders the claims indefinite 1. as the term "general" implies more than what is being positively recited. Its deletion is suggested.
- 2. The value of n in formula 9 and 10 is missing in claims 10 and 12.

Art Unit: 1624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue. 2.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating 4. obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takiguchi et al. JP 50 14631 in view of Fu et al. US 4,659,853.

Takiguchi et al. teaches a process for making S-araalkyl isothiocyanatoformic esters, which involves alkylation of isothiocyanatoformic ester with diaklysulfate or alkylhalide. See entire document, especially see pages 195-196 for the alkylation process and pages 198-202 for a Table showing compounds made. Particularly note NaOH is used for alkylation and therefore there is an inherent teaching of making the sodium salt of the isothiocyanatoformic ester for alkylation. For English version, see CAPLUS abstract provided.

Instant method differs in requiring a step in which isothiocyanatoformic ester is prepared by reacting chlorformic ester with metal thiocyanate and hydroxy compound.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,014 Page 4

Art Unit: 1624

The secondary reference Fu teaches a general process for making isothiocyanatoformic ester, which is same as embraced in the step one of claim 12. See entire document especially column 1 and column 2 for detail description of the process and examples 1-20 on column 3 through column 8.

Note the starting material and the product are analogous in that isothiocyanatoformic ester is formed in the secondary reference is used to make the product of the primary reference using an alkylating step. Thus one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made would have been motivated to combine both the primary and secondary references and employ the process taught by these prior art to the starting materials and reactants and expect to obtain the desired product because he would have expected the analogous reactions behave similarly. It has been held that application of an old process to an analogous material to obtain a result consistent with the teachings of the art would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill. Note In re Kerkhoven 205 USPQ 1069.

References cited in the Information Disclosure Statements (paper # 3) are made of record.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be addressed to Venkataraman Balasubramanian (Bala) whose telephone number is (703) 305-1674. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8.00 AM to 6.00 PM. The Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) of the art unit 1624 is Mukund Shah whose telephone number is (703) 308-4716.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,014

Art Unit: 1624

Page 5

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

V. Balasuboramanan Venkataraman Balasubramanian

5/1/2003